

Polkton Township Planning Commission
Regular Meeting - virtual
Polkton Township Hall

February 16, 2021

Meeting Called to Order – 7:0 pm by Vice Chair Lindberg

Establish a Quorum –

Members present; C. Lindberg, R. Scott, G. Carpenter
Virtual ; K. DuBois
Absent; B. Homik, K. Wieringa

Receive Public Comment – none. Virtual presence; Rick & Sue Lemmen, Fresh Coast Rep.

Approval of Agenda – motion made by Carpenter, seconded by Scott to approve the agenda as written. All Ayes; APPROVED.

Review/Approve Minutes from December 15, 2020 – minutes were reviewed. Noted no January meeting was held due to technical difficulties. Motion by Scott and supported by Carpenter to approve the December 15, 2020 minutes as written. All Ayes: APPROVED.

Lemmen SLU – compliance review of 21.08 B.3-7 zoning ordinance.

3. Drainage, none where building
4. Setbacks, Scott verified these were within the limits
5. Curtilage, will be within the required 2 acres
6. Declaration of Farming uses, yes, was noted on the deed, signed, and dated.
7. Compliance with AG-1, was established at the ZBA meeting

A motion to approve the Lemmen SLU in compliance with zoning ordinance 21.08 B 3-7 was made by Carpenter with support by Lindberg. A roll call vote was taken; Carpenter: Yes, Scott: Yes, DuBois: Yes, Lindberg: Yes. Motion Approved.

Master Plan Update – the Fresh Coast rep had emailed proposed revisions received back from the Township attorney.

Chapter One, page 3, the language was carried over from previous Master Plans. PC would like to see the “hydraulic fracturing” be removed and have the verbiage be more generalized in reference to “alternative energy sources”.

Chapter Nine, page 2, discussion ensued and was agreed upon, that the remaining decisions that need to be made to the revisions, would benefit from the information gathered in the 2020 Census. Tabled until 2020 Census information is received.

Discussions – a. waiting for 2020 Census data b. Scott updated c. none received(?) d. reviewed building permits e. accessory buildings; Scott reviewed a handout. Shipping containers, semi-trailers, hoop buildings should add to the yes or no list? More clarification on the ‘definition’ of a “hoop” building is needed. PC likes the current setback requirements, can send to ZBA for setback variances if required. Rear yard requirements, 25%, what is it? Is it needed or take it out? Favorable response from PC on the suggested information.

Public Comment - None

Motion to Adjourn- by Carpenter, support by Scott. Meeting adjourned 7:58 pm

Minutes written by; Jamie TenBrink, PC Recording Secretary

Next Regular Meeting – March 16, 2021 @ 7:00 pm

